

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,026	03/13/2007	Jozef Thomas Martinus Van Beek	EPC-019	4725
25962 SLATER & M	7590 06/12/2009 IATSIL, L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
17950 PREST	ON RD, SUITE 1000		KUSUMAKAR, KAREN M	R, KAREN M
DALLAS, TX 75252-5793			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,026	VAN BEEK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KAREN M. KUSUMAKAR	2829	

	TO WILLIA INI. TOOODINA WOUL	2023					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavl, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER /1 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a				
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amandment (	DTOL 224)				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		inpliant Amendment (i	F 1 OL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imaly filed amendmen	at canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	_	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed: 1-7 and 12-16.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before or on the date of Elina a ble	tion of Annualill not	be entered				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Ha T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2829							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the removal of Al2O3 from claim 8 would require further search and consideration.

/Ha Nguyen/